

## INTRODUCTION

Mary Kirkpatrick, newly appointed Risk Manager at Antero Resources (AR), is asked to study the proposed strategic "asset monetization options" announced in December 2019, along with the authorized $\$ 600 \mathrm{~m}$ for share repurchasing. The AR share price is at an all-time low $\$ 1.80$, roughly the same as the natural gas NG price $\$ 1.80$. An AR presentation shows (as of 9/2019) Net Debt/LTM Adjusted EBITDAX is 2.6x, the next to highest of 6 Appalachian E\&P Peers.

AR owns 541,000 net acres in West Virginia and Ohio, targeting the Marcellus WV and Utica Ohio gas shales. The November 2018 presentation reported that the 3P (proven (PR), probable, possible) reserves are around 54.6 trillion cubic feet equivalent (Tcfe), with a PV10 $\$ 18.4 \mathrm{~B}$ (PR \$10.8B). There are some 2385 gross potential drilling locations, which could be drilled over the next several years. Note ("SEC") proven reserves disclosed in the 10K 2019 were 18.9 Tcfe, (7.2 proven undeveloped, PUD).

[^0]Mary believes an appropriate risk option evaluation involves measuring the exposure of AR to changes in NG and NGL prices over the next few years, over the entire proven reserves, and finally the entire 3P reserves. The PV10 picture of AR as of Dec 2019 is shown in Table 1:

Table $1 \quad$ PV10 substituted for net capitalized costs of PR, deferred tax ignored.

| AR 12/2019 | ASSETS | LIAB |  | PV10 | Reserves | PV10/BCFE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| CURRENT | 922 | 1040 |  |  |  |  |
| UNPROVEN | 1369 | 3758 | LTD |  |  |  |
| PD PV10 | 4650 | 2645 | OL +MI | 4650 | 11739 | 0.3961 |
| PUD PV10 | 1416 |  | CC ?? | 1416 | 7153 | 0.1980 |
| AM | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| OTHER ASSETS | 3,240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL PV10 BASIS | 12,597 | 5,154 | NA | 6066 | 18892 | 0.3211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SHARES | 287 | $\mathbf{\$ 1 7 . 9 6}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REFERENCE GAS PRICE NGE | $\$ 2.40$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## AR Price Exposure and Hedging

Mary's first consideration is evaluating the reduction of price risk on proven developed reserves (hedge what you produce, not requiring further investments). AR does not specifically disclose the decline curve for aggregate production, but an approximation is shown in Table 2 for PDR and Table 3 for PUD, consistent with the "SEC" disclosure of proven reserves and PV10.

Table 2 Proven Developed Reserves


Table 3 Proven Undeveloped Reserves


Mary has not been given strict guidelines on what constitutes adequate hedging, but she realizes there could be at least five levels of price exposure protection: (1) hedge 1-3 years of production from proven developed producing reserves, (2) hedge all proven developed reserves, (3) hedge PD and PUD reserves, (4) hedge selectively NG and/or NGL prices, given the illiquidity and backwardation of propane futures prices, (5) consider hedging 3P reserves. While it is relatively easy to measure the percentage of each year's production hedged (1), hedge ratios for all reserves, even if feasible, should consider the discount factor. As of Dec 2019, AR held fixed price swap contracts for 1.7 Tcf NG and 15 MMBbls of NGL and oil.

## Risk of Default

The real value of a debt claim $D^{*}$ is derived in Leland (1994) and shown in ROV CH 10A, as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{*}=\frac{C}{r}+\left[(1-\alpha) V_{B}-\frac{C}{r}\right]\left(\frac{V_{B}}{V}\right)^{\beta_{2}}  \tag{1}\\
& V^{*}=V+\frac{\tau C}{r}\left[1-\left(\frac{V_{B}}{V}\right)^{-\beta_{2}}\right]-\alpha V_{B}\left(\frac{V_{B}}{V}\right)^{-\beta_{2}}  \tag{2}\\
& E^{*}=V-D+\left[D-V_{B}\right]\left(\frac{V_{B}}{V}\right)^{-\beta_{2}}=V^{*}-D^{*}  \tag{3}\\
& V_{B}=\left[(1-\tau) \frac{C}{r}\right]\left(\frac{-\beta_{2}}{1-\beta_{2}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \beta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{(r-\delta)}{\sigma^{2}}-\sqrt{\left[\frac{(r-\delta)}{\sigma^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{2 r}{\sigma^{2}}}<0 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}=$ coupon, $\mathrm{r}=$ riskless rate, $\delta=$ convenience yield, $\sigma=$ asset volatility, $\operatorname{debt} \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{r}, \alpha$ is the loss in liquidation, $\tau$ is the tax rate, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the value of V at which management chooses optimally to default, $\mathrm{V}^{*}$ is the option adjusted V , and $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ is the real equity option value.

Table 4 is a template for estimating $\mathrm{D}^{*}, \mathrm{~V}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ with hypothetical inputs, accounting equity $\mathrm{E}=50$, \# shares $=100$, market price is $\$ .25$ (half of $\mathrm{E} / \#$ ).

Table 4

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | Base Case for Equity Strategy |
| 2 | V | 100.00 |  |
| 3 | D | 50.00 | B8/B9 |
| 4 | E | 50.00 | B2-B3 |
| 5 | V* | 96.88 | B2+(B12*B8/B13)*(1-(B19/B2)^-B20)-B11*B19*(B19/B2)^-B20 |
| 6 | D* | 40.63 | (B8/B13)+((1-B11)*B19-(B8/B13))*(B19/B2)^-B20 |
| 7 | E* | 56.25 | B5-B6 |
| 8 | C | 2.00 |  |
| 9 | $\delta$ | 0.04 |  |
| 10 | $\sigma$ | 0.20 |  |
| 11 | $\alpha$ | 0.50 |  |
| 12 | $\tau$ | 0.00 |  |
| 13 | $\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{f})$ | 0.04 |  |
| 14 | S \# Shares | 100.00 |  |
| 15 | E/\# | 0.50 | B4/B14 |
| 16 | E*/\# | 0.56 | B7/B14 |
| 17 | MP | 0.25 |  |
| 18 | Market Cap | 25.00 | B17*B14 |
| 19 | $V_{B}$ | 25.00 | (1-B12)*(B8/B13)*(-B20/(-B20+1)) |
| 20 | $\beta_{2}$ | -1.00 | (0.5-(B13-B9)/(B10^2)-SQRT((0.5-(B13-B9)/(B10^2))^2+2*B13/(B10^2))) |
| 21 | MP/NAV | 50.00\% | B17/B15 |
| 22 | MP/ROV | 44.44\% | B17/B16 |
| 23 | D/V | 50.00\% | B3/B2 |
| 24 | D/V* | 51.61\% | B3/B5 |
| 25 | D*/V* | 41.94\% | B6/B5 |

When $\mathrm{D}=.5 \mathrm{~V}$, the optimal default is at 25 , so there is a slight risk of voluntary liquidation, and a slight addition to the accounting equity value per share (E/\#) for the call option aspect of leveraged equity.

Table 5 shows that the risk of default increases as V falls (as surely has occurred over the past year, with the collapse of NG prices.

Table 5

| D/V | $98.04 \%$ | $83.33 \%$ | $71.43 \%$ | $62.50 \%$ | $55.56 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| D/V* | $111.43 \%$ | $91.25 \%$ | $76.29 \%$ | $65.71 \%$ | $57.78 \%$ | $51.61 \%$ |
| D*/V* | $70.46 \%$ | $62.74 \%$ | $55.86 \%$ | $50.31 \%$ | $45.75 \%$ | $41.94 \%$ |
| D-D* | 18.38 | 15.63 | 13.39 | 11.72 | 10.42 | 9.38 |
| E$^{*}-$ E | 12.25 | 10.42 | 8.93 | 7.81 | 6.94 | 6.25 |
| V | 51 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 |
| D*' $^{*}$ (V) | 0.3604 | 0.2604 | 0.1913 | 0.1465 | 0.1157 | 0.0938 |

Differences between Accounting and Real Debt \& Equity as function of V


## Share Repurchasing

AR has authorized in October 2018 a share repurchasing program of some $\$ 600 \mathrm{~m}$, with limited action thus far, and expiring in March 2020. But Mary wants to examine what might happen across stages of repurchasing (around 10 shares each stage, or $10 \%$ of the initial outstanding shares).

This assumes the base case parameter values in Table 4, the face value of debt, coupon, interest rate, asset yield and volatility, and foreclosure costs are all constant, no taxes or transaction costs, that part of V can be converted into cash, and that the market value of debt and credit rating are ignored. V and D are perpetuities, and only the default option is considered.

Table 6


The cost at each stage of repurchasing shares is $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}+}$, the repurchase price times 10 , the number of shares repurchased at each stage. The next stage $V_{t+1}$ is reduced by $K_{t}$, the repurchasing cost. The new accounting equity per share is the next stage $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}+1}\right.$ less $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}+1}\right) /$ remaining shares, or $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}+1} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}+1}$. What is the equivalent return on drilling $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ that could have produced the same accounting E/Share? This involves solving the following equation:
$\left(V_{t}+d_{t} * K_{t}-D_{t}\right) / S_{t}-E_{t+1} / S_{t+1}=0$
where $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ repurchasing cost, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the required return on drilling, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the number of outstanding shares. The return on drilling has to result in an equivalent increase in the value per share for the next period as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}+1} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{t}+1}$. An easy alternative expression is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{t}=\frac{S_{t}\left(E_{t}-K_{t}\right) / S_{t+1}-E_{t}}{K_{t}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If successive repurchasing of the shares can be achieved at the current stock market price of \$ .25 , then the appraised equity value per share would steadily increase to three times the current value, if only $10 \%$ of the initial shares are then outstanding. The drilling return of 1.1111 times $2.5(\mathrm{~K})$ equals 2.7778 which is the ratio of $5.27778 / 2.5-1$, that is adding 2.7778 to V over the investment cost. The drilling return would have to exceed $1000 \%$ to justify drilling rather than
repurchasing shares at the $8^{\text {th }}$ stage. Is this feasible? Only if the lenders would be satisfied that leverage is not excessive ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{V}=62.5 \%$ at the $8^{\text {th }}$ stage), and if investors are still willing to sell shares back to the firm at the initial market price.

## PROJECT QUESTIONS

1. Help Mary understand the exposure of AR to NG and NGL prices, showing the change in PV10 of $10 \%$ +/- in prices (assume a portfolio of $2 / 3$ NG and $1 / 3$ NGL), revising Tables 1, 2 and 3.
2. What are the recalculated NG, NGL, AR volatilities, based on your reasonable assumptions, updated to Feb 2020?
3. With the PV10 in the Dec 2019 10K, perhaps updated based on current NG prices (rather than the average price over the last 12 months), what is the risk of default ( $D / V$ and $V_{B} / V$ )?
4. Should AR repurchase shares at the current share price [\$1.65 on 24 Feb 2020]?

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ © Dean A. Paxson, 2020. Parts of this case are from the AR 2019 10K and November 2018 Presentation, but the character is fictitious. Many of the numbers are the author's calculations. This case is not intended as an illustration of either good or bad business practices, and mixes hypothetical and actual data and names.

